Extragalactic TeV Photons and the Zero-Point Vibration Spectrum Limit

Ivan L Zhogin (ISSCM SB RAS, Novosibirsk) zhogin@mail.ru

Introduction

Very-high-energy (VHE) photons, $E_{\gamma} > 100 \,\text{GeV}$, are recorded by ground-based observatory facilities, clusters of atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes, etc. Extragalactic sources of VHE photons are active galactic nuclei, such as blazars (Markarian 501 [1], quasar 3C 279 [2]), while within the Galaxy VHE photons are produced, for instance, by the Crab Nebular pulsar (2 kpc; E_{γ} over 100 TeV). The LHAASO observatory reported photons with energies of 1...1.4 PeV; it is possible that some of these quanta came from outside the Galaxy [3].

The universe is not entirely transparent to such hard photons, as they are absorbed by the extragalactic background light (EBL, which includes also photons with energies $E_b = 0.01 \dots 4 \, \text{eV}$ in addition to the cosmic microwave background radiation, CMB) through the electron-positron pair production. The threshold depends on the electron mass m_e , $E_{\gamma}E_b > m_e^2$, Brightness of VEH-sources (and limiting energies E_{γ}) increase dramatically during *flares*.

Let us consider three sources of VHE photons, with their redshift z, distance L, and energy limit E_{γ} ; the distance is estimated via the expression (we assume the linear expansion model $a(t) \propto t$) $L = c t_0 z/(1 + z)$, or

L[Mpc] = 4283 z/(1 + z) (that is, we use $H_0 = t_0^{-1} = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$): a^{a} the Mkn 501 blazar [1] (HEGRA) z = 0.0336, L = 140 Mpc, $E_{\gamma} = 20$ TeV; * the 3C 279 radio-quasar [2] (MAGIC) z = 0.536, L = 1.495 Gpc,

 $2 E_{\gamma} = 0.3...0.5 \text{ TeV};$

 \mathbb{S}^{c} GRB 221009A [4,5] (LHAASO/Carpet-2) z = 0.1505, L = 560 Mpc, $E_{\gamma} = 18 \text{ TeV}/251 \text{ TeV}.$

2. TeV gamma-ray crisis?

The gamma-ray burst (GRB) of October 9th, 2022, had a record-breaking brightness; details on the Carpet-2 facility recording a 251 TeV photon were reported at the workshops of the Theoretical Physics Department of the Institute for Nuclear Research (S. Troitskiy, V. Romanenko)⁽¹⁾ [4, 5]. Figure shows the mean free pathh of VHE photons along with the spectra of the EBL and the Mkn 501 blazar taken from [1].



Figure: Mean free path of VHE photons; the EBL spectrum and the Mkn 501 spectrum correction [1].

New measurements are being made, the spectra of background light (EBL) and Tev sources are being discussed; however, many authors believe that the extragalactic background light (EBL) is anomously transparent for TeV photons, cf. Figure (the corrected Mkn 501 spectrum), and that explaining the anomaly requires a certain new physics [1–6] (such as axion-like particles [3] or models violating the Lorentz invariance [6]).

zero-point vibration spectrum limit U_{ZV} . We assume that the ZV-ensemble is isotropic in the reference system, where the CMB radiation is isotropic (up to ~10⁻⁵, or $v \sim \pm 3$ km/s) as well.

It is simpler, however, to connect this anomaly to a manifestation of the

⁽¹⁾ There are some problems with this photon: the proximity of the Galactic disk and the presence of 2-3 marginal muons [4].

3. The limit of zero-point vibration (ZV) spectrum⁽²⁾

An unstable particle (with a lifetime τ_0), whose decay is associated with ZVs of the energy scale U_0 , when moving relative to the ZV + CMB "ether" with the Lorentz factor γ_e will sense this ZV spectrum boundary (i.e., the ZVs decreasing in the backward direction) and will live some longer than mere $\gamma_e \tau_0$, if $U_0 > U_{ZV}/(2\gamma_e)$.

The 16 TeV and 0.3 eV photons form e^+e^- pairs in a zero-momentum frame with the factor $\gamma_e = 0.5 \sqrt{E_{\gamma}/E_b} \approx 3.7 \cdot 10^6$,

and the required ZV energy is about $U_0 \approx 10^6 \,\mathrm{eV}$ (the pair mass).

If we assume that the ZV anomaly is already in effect, then an estimate is possible:

 $U_{ZV} \approx 2\gamma_e U_0 \approx 7.4$ TeV.

It would be interesting to measure the anomalous increase in lifetime (compared to $\gamma \tau_0$) for particles featuring β -decay; given that $U_0^{(\beta)} \approx 80$ GeV (the W[±]-boson mass), it is possible to estimate the Lorentz factor of the anomaly onset:

 $\gamma_e^{(\beta)} = U_{\rm ZV} / (2U_0^{(\beta)}) \approx 46.$

In addition to muons (VEPP-4/5)⁽³⁾, β^{\pm} -decaying nuclides, such as ³H $(\tau_{\beta} = 12.3 \text{ y})$ and ⁷Be $(\tau_{\beta} = 53 \text{ d})$ are of special interest; (u, d)-quarks already have Lorentz factors γ_q about 35...70 in their nucleons, and this feature is very significant⁽⁴⁾.

It is generally accepted that the ZV spectrum is extended till the Plack energy. There exists, however, a 5D theory [7] in which the Planck length $\lambda_{\rm Pl}$ is a composite quantity that does not correspond to any characteristic scale, and where gravity does not have to be quantized.

⁽²⁾ It is hardly possible to stretch such a good thing as zero vibrations to infinity.

⁽³⁾ The μ^{\pm} -collider idea (Budker, Skrinsky, etc.) is advancing somewhat, see MICE.iit.edu. ⁽⁴⁾ For the bottle-beam neutron anomaly, see arXiv: 1812.00626, the velocity of thermal neutrons v_{beam} is too low; but bottle-neutrons often come in contact with the wall nucleons (protons), while their *d*-quark velocities ('relativism') can decrease – as can the lifetime.

4. Periodic (annual) changes in beta decay rates

Several experiments yielded evidence for the variability of beta decay rates (a number of nuclides was involed) [8]; the amplitude of annual oscillations is of the order 10^{-3} , or 0.1%. The situation is still a way controversial because environmental influences could be in effect as well [8].

The Earth orbital velocity is about 30 km/s, and it adds to or subtracts from the Sun velocity relative to the CMB, $v_{\odot} \approx 368$ km/s; this corresponds to annual disturbances of quarks Lorentz factor $\gamma_q(1.001 \pm 10^{-4})$ – quite a small variation.

Perhaps for the τ -anomaly of scale ~0.1, it is sufficient to accelerate tritons to moderate speeds, $v/c \sim 0.1$ (i.e., triton momentum about 0.3 GeV).

5. References

[1] Protheroe R. J. and Meyer H. An infrared background – TeV gamma-ray crisis? Phys. Lett., 2000, vol. B493, pp. 1-6; arXiv: astro-ph/0005349. See also YouTube: NASA — Blazar Bonanza.

[2] MAGIC Collaboration: Albert J., Aliu E., et al. Very-High-Energy Gamma Rays from a Distant Quasar: How Transparent Is the Universe? Science, 2008, vol. 320, p. 1752; arXiv:0807.2822.

[3] Zhang G., Ma B.-Q. Axion-Photon Conversion of LHAASO Multi-TeV and PeV Photons. Chinese Phys. Lett., 2023, vol. 40, p. 011401; arXiv: 2210.13120. [4] Troitskiy S.V. Very-high-energy photons from the GRB 221009A gamma-ray burst. Workshops of the Theoretical Physics Department of the Institute for Nuclear Research, YouTube.com/@inrth (Romanenko V.S.)

[5] Shtern B. The brightest γ -ray burst ever: is new physics required? Troitskiy variant – nauka (Troitsk Variant Science), 2022, No. 23(367), p. 1; trv-science.ru/2022/12/. [6] Li H., Ma B.-Q. Lorentz invariance violation induced threshold anomaly versus VHE cosmic photon emission from GRB 221009A. Astropart. Phys., 2023, vol. 148, p. 102831; arXiv: 2210.06338.

[7] Zhogin I. Large-scale virial relations in 5D Absolute Parallelism with 4th-order gravity. Submitted to PIRT-2023. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.28634.21442.

[8] Sturrock P.A., Fischbach E., Parkhomov A., Scargle J.D., Steinitz G. Concerning the

variability of beta-decay measurements. arXiv: 1510.05996.