

Large-scale virial relations in 5D Absolute Parallelism with 4th-order gravity

Ivan L Zhogin (ISSCM SB RAS, Novosibirsk / Minkowski Institute) zhogin@mail.ru

1. Introduction

Special relativity (SR) unites space and time but does not explain any field or particle. General relativity (GR) relates gravity to space-time curvature; the other fields/particles form the energy-momentum tensor, EMT, and remain unexplained. Einstein wasn't content with GR; he compared the GR-equation sides with a marble palace (the LHS, Einstein's tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}R/2$, $G_{\mu\nu;\nu} \equiv 0$) and an old shed (the RHS with the EMT). Later Einstein explored the frame field $h^a_\mu(x^\nu)$, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}h^a_\mu h^b_\nu$, and second order equations which symmetry unites symmetries of both SR (Latin indexes; η_{ab} is Minkowski's metric) and GR (Greek ones) – the third (or united) relativity, but Einstein call it Absolute Parallelism (AP).

The list of compatible 2^d -order AP equations (found by A. Einstein and W. Mayer in [0]) includes the two-parameter class of Lagrangian equations and three more classes. And there exists the exceptional equation (EE), non-Lagrangian, which solutions don't allow co-singularities (the principal terms do not remain regular for one-degenerate co-frame matrices), and, if $D=5$, contra-singularities (degenerate contra-frame density of some weight) [1]:

$$\mathbb{E}_{a\mu}: L_{a\mu\nu;\nu} - \frac{1}{3}(f_{a\mu} + L_{a\mu\nu}\Phi_\nu) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{a\mu;\mu}: f_{\mu\nu;\nu} = \frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu\lambda}f_{\lambda\nu} [= (S_{\mu\nu\lambda}\Phi_\lambda)_{;\nu}];$$

here $L_{a\mu\nu} = \Lambda_{a\mu\nu} - S_{a\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3}h_{a[\mu}\Phi_{\nu]}$, $\Lambda^a_{\mu\nu} = h^a_{\mu;\nu} - h^a_{\nu;\mu}$

$$(\Lambda^a_{[\mu\nu;\lambda]} \equiv 0), \quad S_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 3\Lambda_{[\mu\nu\lambda]}, \quad \Phi_\nu = h^\mu_a \Lambda^a_{\mu\nu}, \quad f_{\mu\nu} = 2\Phi_{[\mu;\nu]}.$$

The EE doesn't allow $D=4$ (we need at least one extra dimension!):

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu\mu} = \mathbb{E}^a_\mu h^{\mu a} = \frac{4-D}{3}\Phi_{\mu;\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2_{abc} + \frac{1}{3}S^2_{abc} + \frac{D-1}{9}\Phi_a^2 = 0.$$

2. EE's features

The theory has a number of key features (remember, $D=5$):

⊗ 15 polarizations have very different functions and amplitudes (four classes; generally, a higher class means many orders smaller amplitudes) as they relate to different irreducible parts of Λ and Λ' , such as Φ_μ (3+1 pol-s, 2^d - and 1^{st} -class), $S_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ (3 pol-s, 1^{st} -class), and the Riemannian curvature tensor (or the Weyl tensor; 5 pol-s, 3^d -class);

⊗ three unstable pol-s (0^{th} -class) grow linearly under action of three stable pol-s relating to $f_{\mu\nu}$ (2^d -class), while h'^2 -terms are tiny:

$$\Lambda_{\lambda\mu\nu;\tau;\tau} = -\frac{2}{3}f_{\mu\nu;\lambda} + (\Lambda\Lambda', \Lambda^3) (\square S \approx \square\Phi \approx 0 \approx \square f \text{ — stable pol-ns});$$

⊗ non-stationary O_4 -symmetrical solutions exist which resemble a (single) longitudinal wave in Chaplygin gas [2] (the 1^{st} -class pol-n relating to Φ_μ ; others don't survive in this symmetry); the wave can serve as a cosmological shallow waveguide for tangential shorter waves, with ultrarelativistic expansion and different evolution of waves amplitudes – according to the structures of quadratic terms (whether they include 0^{th} -class parts or only lower class ones);

⊗ non-linear localised h -field configurations can carry digital information – topological charges and (for symmetrical configurations) quasi-charges (when 0^{th} -class waves become large enough), and a QM-like 4D-phenomenology emerges through averaging along the huge extra-dimension, along a length L , the width of large-scale O_4 -wave in co-moving coordinates [2]; note, two thin lines in a 4d-space have tiny chances to intersect in a single approach. The complete description is five-dimensional, not four!

3. Forth order gravity

The proper EMT (where only f -polarizations play the role) appears with the prolonged, forth-order symmetrical equation $[\mathbb{E}_{(\mu\nu);\tau;\tau}]$:

$$G_{\mu\nu;\tau;\tau} + G_{\varepsilon\tau}(2R_{\varepsilon\mu\tau\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\varepsilon\tau}/2) = -\frac{2}{9}T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} + B_{[\mu\rho][\nu\tau]}(\Lambda^2)_{;\rho;\tau},$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} = f_{\mu\tau}f_{\nu\tau} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}f_{ab}^2$;

this eq-n follows also from a “Lagrangian” quadratic in the field equation $\mathbb{E}_{(\mu\nu)}$. Note the absence of free parameters. The scale L is a parameter of solution, not the theory; and L reduces to the Planck length λ_P via multiplying by a tiny factor relating to the amplitude of f -waves. In other words, the “conventional scale of EMT” (where the “conventional energy” of a photon is just its angular frequency ω , in natural units with $c = 1 = \hbar$) differs very very much from the authentic scale of $T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)}$.

So, one can consider the static 4d-equation $\Delta^2\varphi(x^\alpha) = -\rho(x^\alpha)$ and suggest that masses are very extended along the extra dimension, the length L . A point “mass” $aR^{-3}\delta(R)$ gives the next solution (every large mass/over-density is accompanied by an under-density, so the logarithmic growth should stop somewhere)

$$\varphi(R) = a/8 \ln(R^2) - b/R^2; \text{ we discard } +c + dR^2.$$

For an L -extended mass m , at scales $r \ll L$ one gets the Newton acceleration $\varphi'_N = G_N m/r^2$, while for large distances $r \gg L$ it looks different: $\varphi' = G_N m/(rL)$. The second-order equation also should be accounted for and this restricts the solutions; at some constraint on the set a, b the first correction to Newton’s force (the Rindler term) $\Delta\varphi \sim r/L^2$ can vanish, so

$$\Delta\varphi(r \ll L) = \varphi - \varphi_N \sim r^2/L^3 \text{ [2].}$$

It seems L should be about (few) hundred parsecs.

4. Large scale virial relations

At large scales, if generally $|\vec{r}_{ij}| \gg L$, a virial relation takes the form

$$\langle \psi \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{T+t_0} \psi dt: \left\langle \sum_i (\dot{\vec{r}}_i \vec{p}_i + \vec{r}_i \dot{\vec{p}}_i) \right\rangle_T \approx 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\langle \sum_i m_i \gamma_i v_i^2 \rangle_T = \left\langle \sum_{i,j \neq i} \vec{r}_i \frac{\partial U_{ij}(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)}{\partial \vec{r}_i} \right\rangle_T \approx \frac{G}{L} \left[(\sum_i m_i)^2 - \sum_i m_i^2 \right].$$

This relation can be applied both to galaxy clusters and to large gas clouds. The (baryon) mass of a galaxy M_{gal} can hardly be measured accurately, and the function $L_{gal}(M_{gal})$ can be non-linear [3], $L_{gal} \sim M_{gal}^2$ (for stars $L_{st} \sim M_{st}^4$) – this would support the suggested theory (see also DarkMatterCrisis.wordpress.com).

5. References

- [0] Einstein A., Mayer W. Systematische Untersuchung über kompatible Feldgleichungen, welche in einem Riemannschen Raume mit Fernparallelismus gesetzt werden können. *Sitzungsber. preuss. Akad. Wiss., phys.-math. Kl.*, 1931, 257–265. (Einstein’s Full Collection. V. 2. 353–365. Rus.)
- [1] Zhogin I. Proc. PIRT-2011. Moscow: BMSTU, 2012, p. 337; [arXiv:gr-qc/1109.1679](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/1109.1679) / Thesis (book); [arXiv:gr-qc/0412130](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0412130) / One more SNela fitting ($D=5$); [arXiv:gr-qc/0902.4513](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0902.4513).
- [2] Zhogin I. AP: Spherical symmetry; [arXiv:gr-qc/0412081v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0412081v3) / Topological charges and quasi-charges; [arXiv:gr-qc/0610076](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0610076) / Large-scale change in Newton’s law; [arXiv:gr-qc/0704.0857](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0704.0857).
- [3] Valageas P. and Schaeffer R. The mass and luminosity functions of galaxies and their evolution. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 1999, vol. 345, p. 329; [arXiv:astro-ph/9812213](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9812213).

A1. One simple AP equation and its compatibility

A simple (perhaps the simplest) compatible AP equation looks simply

$\mathbb{E}_{a\mu}^* = \Lambda_{a\mu\nu;\nu} = \mathbf{0}$; its compatibility is ensured by the identity

$\mathbb{E}_{a\mu;\mu}^* = \Lambda_{a\mu\nu;\nu;\mu} \equiv \mathbf{0}$; or $(h\mathbb{E}_a^{*\mu})_{,\mu} = (h\Lambda_a^{\mu\nu})_{,\nu\mu} \equiv \mathbf{0}$ ($h = \det h^a_{\mu}$).

The linearized simple equation $\mathbb{E}_{a\mu}^*$ (SE; together with the linearized Λ -identity $\Lambda_{a[\mu\nu;\lambda]} \equiv \mathbf{0}$ – if one would like to use the first order system for Λ 's) looks like a D -fold Maxwell system (the every value of scalar index a gives its own Maxwell-like system): $\mathbb{E}^{*a}_{\mu} \approx h^a_{\mu,\nu\nu} - h^a_{\nu,\mu\nu} = \mathbf{0}$.

It should follow from the compatibility theory (as it's formulated by J. F. Pommaret in [a1]) that the symbol G_2 of this system (or symbol G_1 for the first-order linearized system with Λ 's) is involutive, and all further identities should be valid automatically (and the system is compatible).

The other "divergence" $\mathbb{E}^{*a}_{\mu;\nu} h^{\nu a} = \mathbf{0} = \mathbb{E}^{*a}_{\mu,a}$ gives the next (Maxwell-like) equation (one should use the contracted Λ -identity $\Lambda_{abc,a} + f_{bc} \equiv \mathbf{0}$):

$$\mathbb{E}^*_{a\mu,a} = f_{\mu\nu;\nu} - J_{\mu}(\Lambda\Lambda') = \mathbf{0};$$

so (for compatibility) this current should be conserved, i.e. the next identity must be (automatically!) valid: $J_{\mu;\mu} \equiv \mathbf{0}$. How to explain this?

One can separate symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of any AP eq-n (2^d -order; for SE $\sigma=\mathbf{0}$, $\tau=\mathbf{1}$) as follows (we use the Einstein tensor; $G_{\mu\mu;\nu} \equiv \mathbf{0}$):

$$\mathbb{E}_{[\mu\nu]}: S_{\mu\nu\lambda;\lambda} + \tau f_{\mu\nu} + V_{[\mu\nu]}(\Lambda^2) = \mathbf{0}; \quad \mathbb{E}_{(\mu\nu)}: \Lambda_{(\mu\nu)\lambda;\lambda} + \sigma(\Phi_{(\mu;\nu)} - g_{\mu\nu}\Phi_{\lambda;\lambda}) + (\Lambda^2) = \\ = -G_{\mu\nu} + (\sigma - 1)(\Phi_{(\mu;\nu)} - g_{\mu\nu}\Phi_{\lambda;\lambda}) + V_{(\mu\nu)}(\Lambda^2) = \mathbf{0};$$

these parts give two Maxwell-like equations which currents should be identical (the first identity necessary for compatibility; $\sigma \neq \mathbf{1}$, $\tau \neq \mathbf{0}$):

$$(\sigma - 1)[f_{\mu\nu;\nu} - J_{\mu}^{(s)}(\Lambda\Lambda')] = \mathbf{0}, \quad \tau[f_{\mu\nu;\nu} - J_{\mu}^{(a)}(\Lambda\Lambda')] = \mathbf{0}, \quad J_{\mu}^{(s)} \equiv J_{\mu}^{(a)} \propto V_{[\mu\nu];\nu}.$$

Evidently, if $\tau \neq \mathbf{0}$, the current is trivial as following from the skew-symmetric part. The exception is $\tau = \mathbf{0}$, with the exceptional equation (EE) where the current (it's trivial too) follows only from the symmetric part. Coulomb-like $f_{\mu\nu}$ -field needs a long extra-dimension (Einstein had mentioned troubles with an f -candidate in AP to somebody, maybe to A. Pais [a2]).

For notes

We use a sort of "equivalence class notation":

$$A_{\mu\dots;\nu\dots\mu} := \{A^{\mu\dots;\nu\dots\mu}, A_{\mu\dots;\nu\dots\mu}\}$$

– no matter which of two contracted indexes to move up.

[a1] J. F. Pommaret, *Systems of Partial Differential Equations and Lie Pseudogroups*, Math. and its Applications, Vol. 14 (New York, 1978).

[a2] A. Pais, *Subtle is the Lord*,